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Abstract
Librarians and information specialists are continually seeking innovative ways to expand services, raise the visibility of the library and demonstrate impact. This article proposes novel opportunities for librarians and information specialists to extend their impact within their research support role by helping to positively influence the quality, transparency and future usability of health research publications produced by the clinicians and researchers in their organisation and to contribute to tackling wider issues within biomedical research. In the current economic climate it is imperative that librarians and library services are viewed as proactive, responsive, and supportive of the research needs of their organisation in their joint goal of striving for research excellence.
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Introduction
Since the publication in 2014 of the five papers in the Lancet Series on Research: increasing value, reducing waste (1-5) that highlighted serious issues in research prioritisation, design, conduct, reporting and regulation there has been much discussion in the medical literature about waste in biomedical research (6-8). Roberts and Ker (7) recently drew attention to the problems of including small, often poor quality trials, frequently found as a result of thorough literature searches, in systematic reviews and an editorial published last year by Glasziou (9) argues that open access can help to solve issues with post-publication research waste. Both question the status quo and call for improvements in fields where librarians have already established roles in supporting these research-related activities. More specifically, in the past few years the medical literature has been peppered by studies evaluating the quality of research publications, highlighting deficiencies in the reporting of published research and conference abstracts (10-16). The reporting-related deficiencies exposed include publication bias, selective reporting and evidence of poor descriptions of analyses, interventions, outcomes and adverse events. Poor reporting not only compromises the reliability and usefulness of research publications it also negatively impacts on the work of librarians and information specialists for example by hindering the indexing of publications in bibliographic databases and by impeding efficient literature searching and retrieval. This adversely affects the efficiency of library services and wastes the limited time and resources of librarians and information specialists.

Numerous calls to help address these increasingly frequently reported concerns regarding biomedical research publications presents librarians and information specialists with opportunities to elevate the presence of the library service and increase its impact. Being aware of the ever-changing needs of our users and responding by introducing new services is something that we all do. With an estimated 85% of investment in biomedical research wasted (17) it is becoming increasingly important for solutions to be sought and implemented to ensure that all biomedical research is well-designed and conducted and that research reports are accurate and usable for informing clinical decisions and future research studies. Solving such complex and widespread issues will involve many actors but librarians have an important role to play in ensuring...
that such investments do not continue to be wasted. This is particularly so with regard to increasing the awareness of and use of reporting guidelines.

**Increasing impact: reporting guidelines**

One key international initiative, established in response to the growing evidence of serious deficiencies in the health research literature discussed previously, is the EQUATOR Network (18). The EQUATOR Network seeks to improve the reliability and value of medical research literature by promoting transparent and accurate reporting of research studies. The Network provides free online access to a comprehensive collection of health research reporting guidelines (currently listing 284 different reporting guidelines) and other resources to help all those involved in conducting or supporting health-related research and its publication. The Network also organises educational courses and training workshops, conducts research and provides advice on the development of new reporting guidelines. Reporting guidelines are statements that provide advice on how to report research methods and findings, outlining a minimum set of items that should be included in reports of biomedical research and often take the form of a checklist and/or flow diagram. They have been designed to help clinicians and researchers to write up their research study for publication and studies demonstrate that their use can lead to improved, more accurate and transparent reports of research (19, 20). Examples include CONSORT for reporting randomised controlled trials, PRISMA for reporting systematic reviews and STROBE for reporting observational studies (21-23).

Librarians are excellently placed to raise awareness and encourage implementation of reporting guidelines amongst the clinicians and researchers with whom they work since, in day-to-day practice health librarians come into contact with researchers at many points during the conduct of a research study (such as during the scoping or planning stages, helping with the literature review or helping advise on appropriate journals to submit to) (see Box 1). Librarians could very easily use these opportunities to raise awareness of reporting guidelines and advise researchers on the appropriate reporting guideline to use to write up their study, thereby incorporating awareness-raising about good research reporting practices into existing tasks. Additional opportunities to influence the uptake of reporting guidelines include: promotion through leaflets, posters, library bulletins, current awareness services or social media; adding links to reporting guidelines from library website pages or apps; raising awareness in library induction or research support training sessions; running specific library-led research reporting workshops. As reporting guidelines have been specifically designed to improve biomedical research publications, librarians, by adopting some simple awareness-raising practices, can demonstrate real impact with regards to influencing the completeness, transparency and quality of the research publications produced by their institution/organisation by highlighting that they have for example: indirectly improved the reliability of literature searching and indexing; helped ensure that research studies provide a more reliable basis for making clinical decisions or for inclusion in further research; helped research results to be transferred into practice more quickly. Importantly, librarians and information specialists can demonstrate to the head of their organisation that the library is playing a fundamental role in improving the quality of the research output of the organisation. In fact, encouraging the use of reporting guidelines also benefits librarians and information specialists themselves as studies that are well reported, particularly in terms of the title and abstract, will be easier to index when added to bibliographic databases, will be easier to search for when developing and conducting literature searches and will be easier to identify when sifting the results of a literature search. Such studies will also be easier to critically appraise.

The EQUATOR Network is in the process of setting up a dedicated network and a toolkit for librarians and information specialists with the specific aim of helping make it as easy as possible for librarians to support their users in improving the quality of the research papers they publish. The Network is also in the process of establishing a pilot collaborative project with Ana Patricia Ayala, Instruction & Faculty Liaison Librarian at the Gerstein Science Information Centre at the University of Toronto with a specific focus on improving access to reporting guidelines and encouraging their use.
Increasing impact: reporting guidelines

- Seize all opportunities to raise awareness of reporting guidelines when providing help to researchers during the various stages of their study.
- When providing the results of literature searches advise researchers on the appropriate reporting guideline to use to write up their study.
- Promote reporting guidelines through leaflets, posters, library bulletins, current awareness services or social media.
- Add links to reporting guidelines to library website pages and apps.
- Raise awareness of reporting guidelines during library induction or research support training sessions.
- Run specific library-led research reporting workshops.

Increasing impact: responding to the Lancet Series on increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research

- Promote librarian involvement in identifying all existing evidence before grant applications for new studies are submitted to ensure that new research will address current uncertainties rather than unnecessarily duplicating previous work.
- Raise awareness about the importance of study protocol development, guidelines for writing protocols and encourage researchers to make their protocols publicly accessible.
- Establish a literature search service specifically targeting development of study protocols or analysis plans with the aim to identify current studies that are investigating the same or a similar research topic.
- Encourage researchers to obtain the protocols for all included studies in a review to ensure that what is stated in the protocol corresponds to the content of the published research report.
- Raise awareness of the availability of study registers, the benefits of registering studies, and the requirements for reporting when the study is complete.
- Highlight sources of information about study design, standards for conducting research and research ethics.
- Recommend and promote high quality open access journal titles to researchers looking for advice on where to publish their work.
- Advocate the registering, archiving and deposit of final research reports in online institutional repositories.
- Raise awareness about reporting guidelines and highlight the importance of accurate titles and abstracts.
- Launch a current awareness service specifically highlighting retracted papers as researchers are often unaware of retraction notices.

Box 1. Recommended actions for librarians and information specialists to increase library impact.

Increasing impact: responding to the Lancet Series on increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research

To return to the much wider issue of waste in biomedical research discussed earlier, there are many ways in which librarians and information specialists can respond to the increasing calls for improvement. Indeed, the visibility and impact of library services could be improved greatly by responding to such pleas. A few simple additions to everyday tasks or training sessions can impact positively upon the research output of the clinicians and researchers with whom librarians and information specialists work (see Box 1). Some examples, previously outlined in a blog post (24), of research support that librarians and information specialists could provide that specifically address the recommendations set out in the Lancet Waste series include: promoting librarian involvement in systematically gathering together all existing...
evidence before new research studies are instigated thus ensuring that all new research will address current uncertainties rather than unnecessarily duplicating previous work; raising awareness about the importance of study protocol development, guidelines for writing protocols (e.g. SPIRIT) and encouraging researchers to make their protocols publicly accessible; establishing a literature search service specifically targeting development of study protocols or analysis plans with the aim to identify current studies that are investigating the same or a similar research topic; encouraging researchers to obtain the protocols (where available) for all included studies to ensure that what is stated in the protocol corresponds to the content of the published research report that is to be included in a systematic review; raising awareness of the availability of study registers such as clinical trial registers (e.g. clinicaltrials.gov) and systematic review registers (e.g. PROSPERO), the benefits of registering studies, and the requirements for reporting when the study is complete; highlighting sources of information about study design, standards for conducting research and research ethics; recommending and promoting high quality open access journal titles to researchers looking for advice on where to publish their work; advocating the registering, archiving and deposit of final research reports in online institutional repositories; raising awareness about reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT) and highlighting the importance of accurate titles and abstracts which can affect the successful retrieval of papers from bibliographic databases; launching a current awareness service specifically highlighting retracted papers as researchers often cite papers that they have previously identified and are unaware of retraction notices. Promoting newly introduced services in the context of responding to important topical concerns documented in the international medical literature, such as the Lancet Series, will raise the profile of the library and will help ensure that the library is seen as responsive in developing and delivering support to clinicians and researchers that is cutting-edge and is therefore valued and sought-after. This would be well received by the librarians’ institutions and organisations who are themselves continuously striving to conduct and publish high quality research. In the current economic climate, librarians increasingly have to justify their services in light of funding restrictions. By adopting additional roles or expanding library services that have a direct impact on the quality of the research output of their organisation librarians can demonstrate that they have a fundamental role in its overall academic success.

Conclusions
Ultimately, librarians and information specialists must keep abreast of the opinions and concerns of their clinical and biomedical research colleagues and listen and respond with innovative new services addressing such issues and pleas. Being proactive and keeping on top of the medical literature to identify new widely-held concerns is just one way of achieving this. Tailoring new services directly in response to widely documented concerns in medical research can raise the profile of the library and will help ensure that the library is seen as responsive in developing and delivering support to clinicians and researchers that is cutting-edge and is therefore valued and sought-after. This would be well received by the librarians’ institutions and organisations who are themselves continuously striving to conduct and publish high quality research. In the current economic climate, librarians increasingly have to justify their services in light of funding restrictions. By adopting additional roles or expanding library services that have a direct impact on the quality of the research output of their organisation librarians can demonstrate that they have a fundamental role in its overall academic success.
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