

# Poster Evaluation Criteria

Poster #:

Author (Last Name): \_\_\_\_\_

Committee member: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

**I. Technical:** Please score each item on a 1 – 5 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 5 = excellent

<b>Legibility</b> (adequate font size, quality of printing, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Materials</b> (materials create a polished presentation)	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall visual appeal</b> (layout of text & figures)	1	2	3	4	5

(1) Total technical score: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**II. Content:** Please score each item on a 1 – 5 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 5 = excellent

<b>Title</b> (effectively highlights the poster's subject matter)	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Authors</b> (names listed; institutional affiliations listed)	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Abstract</b> (succinctly summarizes of project)	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Structuring:</b> good and clear, well divided into sections in order to convey the content of the study (includes well adapted figs & tables where the case)	1	2	3	4	5

(2) Total content score: \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL SCORE (1 + 2):**

- Committee Member's Choice:** A check on this box indicates this was the member's favorite poster presentation among all posters he/she evaluated. This selection need not correspond with the poster that received the highest total score.